Approved For Release 2008/09/23 : CIA-RDP73T00325R000100010033-6

	4	CEN	ITER R	OUTING SLI	Р	Ket	(a)
FROM	<i>'</i> .		,			DATE	
0	hief,	PPBS	•			22 August	: 1969
то		INITIALS	DATE		RE	MARKS	
DIRECTOR							
DEP/DIRECTOR				Hank:			
EXEC/DIRECTOR	(2)	#	5/15	With refe	renc	e to the	attached
SPECIAL ASST	(1)	M	8/2	MIS Study	, tw		
ASST TO DIR			-	points ar	e:		
ASST TO DEP/DIR				1. The p	aper	has been	n.
		,	. <u>-</u>	thoroughl Groups an	y re	eviewed by	y the
CH/PPBS				endorse t	the 1	report in	
DEP CH/PPBS				substance and approach and concur in the conclusions			
EO/PPBS			and recommendations reached.				
				2		. += <i></i> i	~m
CH/IEG				2. I pro	pose	of	the
DEP CH/IEG				PPBS to t	this	task. P	SG/AID
EO/IEG				has alrea	ady of	offerea s intends t	crong
				assign a	very	y capable	
CH/PSG				individua	al s with	pecifical the Staf	ly f in
DEP CH/PSG	,		٩	this effo	ort.		
EO/PSG				will be a	avai	lable ini	tially
				rolling	in t	he right	direction
CH/TSSG				and there	eaft	er from t	ime-to-
DEP CH/TSSG		,		encounter	majo red	r problem and to re	view
EO/TSSG						e progres	
CH/SSD/TSSG				_	, /		
PERSONNEL				1	4		!
LOGISTICS					ES	BC .	
TRAINING		;				-	
RECORDS MGT							
SECURITY							
FINANCE	Ų						
DIR/IAS/DDI							
CH/DIAXX-4			,				
CH/DIAAP-9							
CH/SPAD							

25**X**1

25**X**1

IP FM 30 (11-68) - OBSOLETE PREVIOUS EDITIONS

WORKING PAPER

WORKING PAPER

22 August 1969

MEMORANDUM FOR: Executive Director, NPIC

SUBJECT

: Definition of Management Information Needs, Reassessment

of the Management Information System (MIS), and

Consideration of Alternatives

- 1. Early in March, you directed the PPB Staff to "define management information needs, reassess the need for the MIS, and consider alternatives". The attached preliminary study will bring you up to date on the progress made so far toward these objectives, and will illustrate that we have reached a point at which a further course of action should be considered.
- 2. As a first step, the Groups, the PPB Staff, and the Production Management Board were asked to furnish representatives to work with the Project Officer,

 Through this mechanism, terms of references and certain questions on the current MIS and management information needs in general were posed to the Groups, Staff, and PMB.
- 3. In addition, other approaches to information systems were looked at and considered, such as the PROMPT system, the UAC UAIMS development, the DOD project PRIME, the O/PPB study on a PPB Information System, the DD/S SIPS program, and so forth.
- 4. The MIS subject is complex and has a number of ramifications beyond the System itself. Therefore, this study, particularly the Main Report, covers a number of areas which might not appear, at first glance, to be overly germane or which may seem more academic than practical; however, this approach is necessary to put the subject in proper perspective and to establish a logical and understandable basis from which to proceed.
- 5. Six appendices are attached to supplement and document the Main Report. One of these, Appendix D, Management Information and Planning and Control, is taken, with modification as needed, from a publication by Robert N. Anthony, former Assistant Secretary of Defense Comptroller and Harvard Graduate School of Business Administration Professor of Management Controls. The purpose is not to invoke an aura of unchallengable expertise, but to save time and effort in providing that framework and common language essential to consideration of the problem, now and in the future. It is also hoped that this framework can be useful as a starting point in viewing Center activities for purposes other than this study.

25X1

25X1

S-E-C-R-E-T

WORKING PAPER

١.

WORKING PAPER

SUBJECT: Definition of Management Information Needs, Reassessment of the Management Information System (MIS), and Consideration of Alternatives

- 6. As a result of this preliminary effort, the following conclusions have been reached:
 - a. Since the overall "value of information" always is difficult to quantify, the judgment as to the further course of action must be largely subjective in nature.
 - b. The Center should not reject the concept of a centralized, automated information system; it is doubtful that other means either would be capable of meeting information requirements or would be less costly in the long run.
 - c. Merely asking management what it needs, which was done in the course of this study, is not an adequate basis on which to predicate an information system, because the legitimate information needs cannot be determined satisfactorily in this manner.
 - d. Detailed definition of legitimate management information needs is an extremely difficult and time-consuming undertaking; determining the information actually required for decision-making lies at the heart of the problem. In addition, the information demanded by external authority and certain routine accounting data must be incorporated. Such a comprehensive analysis requires that a thorough, definitive, and objective study, embracing the entire Center, be performed in a formal and rigorous manner by the best assets which can be brought to bear. This is necessary lest the MIS mistakes of the past be repeated.
 - e. Therefore, this Staff, with your approval, will proceed with the following actions:
 - (1) As an interim measure, upgrade the current MIS at minimum dollar and manpower cost.
 - (2) Undertake an in-house study, with contractual assistance as needed, to define explicitly management information needs and external information requirements and develop a systems concept to meet their needs and requirements.
 - (3) Generate specifications for alternative systems capable of fulfilling the stated needs and requirements, subject these alternatives to cost/effectiveness evaluation, and present the results for executive decision.

WORKING PAPER

WORKING PAPER

SUBJECT: Definition of Management Information Needs, Reassessment of the Management Information System (MIS), and Consideration of Alternatives

(4) Following selection of the appropriate alternative, undertake detailed, in-depth analysis, followed by systems design, programming, installation, test, and implementation.

Chief
Planning, Programming & Budgeting Staff

25X1

S-E-C-R-E-T